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ANTHONY WILLIAMS,

having been duly sworn, was

examined and testified as

follows:

MS. TOOHER: Thank you, Mr. Williams.

Could we also note the capacity in

which you're here on the record?

Mr. MACINTOSH: Michael Macintosh.

I am the union representative for Anthony.

MR. VALLE: Glenn Valle, counsel for

the New York State Police.

MR. MOROKNEK: My name is Harold

Moroknek, M-o-r-o-k-n-e-k, the law offices of

Hodges Walsh & Slater, 75 South Broadway, White

Plains, New York, and I've been asked by the Union

to represent Anthony today.

MS. TOOHER: Thank you.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. Mr. Williams, could you please state your

full name and rank for the record.

A. Anthony David Williams. I am a Senior

Investigator.

Q. And where are you employed?

A. New York, Troop NYC. I'm the admin.
senior there.

Q. And what are your duties as admin. senior?

A. There's quite a few.

Q. Can we limit it to what would be your responsibilities concerning any kind of travel with the State Police.

A. I assign members to the VIP's that might be requested for ground transportation or dignitary protection.

Q. And is there a distinction between ground transportation and dignitary protection?

A. Yes. The dignitary protection is more of a security detail; whereas, ground transportation is from Point A to Point B and there's not much security involved.

Q. And who makes the designation as to whether or not it's dignitary versus ground transportation?

A. Pretty much -- that's in the, in the request that we may get from that person and/or it depends on their status, such as an out-of-state Governor, he would get the full protection.

Q. Okay, and when you say it depends on the
person that's coming in --

A. Yeah, the person who, who requested it, would say if they want the ground transportation or the dignitary protection. Normally in the request that we would get it would state ground transportation.

Q. And who do those requests come from?

A. It may come from the Governor's detail or a state VIP, I guess, per se. State employee of high status.

Q. So a state employee of high status can make a direct request to you?

A. Not to me, no.

Q. Who would that request go to?

A. That would either go through the Governor's detail and/or the Superintendent's office.

Q. Okay.

A. I don't get the initial request, no.

Q. How is the request relayed to you?

A. It would go through either the Governor's detail, his security detail, or the Superintendent's office.

Q. Okay, and if we were speaking about
Senator Joe Bruno, how would that request come to you?

A. That would go through the Superintendent's office, which would then come down to me through the e-mail.

Q. So all requests for Senator Bruno come through the Superintendent's office?

A. All the ones that I have received, yes.

Q. Have you ever received a request directly from Senator Bruno?

A. No.

Q. And just to back up a little bit. In terms of the ground transportation and the dignitary protection, do you have any specific training in that area?

A. Yes.

Q. And what would be the training in that area?

A. I was on the Governor's detail for approximately two to three years, and I went through the NYPD Academy for the dignitary protection, which I think was possibly a week long. I am not sure. And through online courses.

Q. And what kind of areas do they cover at
the NYPD course?

A. Protection forms that we go through on how to protect the dignitary. The position of cars. It's just a -- it's a security type course that we go, go, go through, so...

Q. And did the course address at all security issues in providing these type of services?

A. I don't understand.

Q. What type of security concerns there might be.

A. That's a broad question, so...

Q. I understand and I apologize. Are there any directions that you received at the course concerning security information, the ways of providing transportation, keeping information within the State Police? Things like that.

A. We're talking about 12 years ago, so it's hard for me to remember exactly, but it's something along those lines as far as protecting the dignitary and how, how to go about doing the job as best as you can.

I mean it's -- that's a real broad question on, on how to do it because each
situation might be different.

Q. Okay. Have you ever incorporated any kind of those -- the protocols and things that you've learned in your experience into how you deal with the ground transportation requests?

A. Somewhat, yes.

Q. Can you describe any that you have incorporated?

A. Umm, well...

Q. Let me break it down. When you receive a request from the Superintendent in this instance for Senator Bruno, what do you do with that information?

A. I would look to find out who I am able to assign that detail to.

Q. And in making that selection, how do you choose the officer?

A. We actually have a list of guys that we have -- that are formally of the Governor's detail or, or, or who have experience in that, and I would give them a call to see if they are available for it.

Q. Okay, and the information that you receive in the request, what type of information
do you get?

A. It can be quite a bit; it can be very
little. It can range from, from having his whole
or a part of his schedule in there to give Leslie,
who is his secretary, a call for the schedule.

Q. Okay, and do you take any precautions
with that information? Is that information that
you provide to the public or is that information
you limit in terms of dissemination?

A. No, that will stay between the State
Police members that are involved with that detail.

Q. So you handle that information when you
get it from the Superintendent; is that correct?

A. Sometimes, yes.

Q. Or if you get it from Senator Bruno's
office?

A. I don't get it from his office until
after the first request went through.

Q. The first request is in?

A. Yes.

Q. But I think you said a moment ago that
sometimes you're required to call --

A. Yes.

Q. -- the Senator's office?
A. Yes, yes.

Q. And in those instances it's you are the contact person?

A. Sometimes.

Q. Are there other people that handle it?

A. Sometimes the actual investigator will call there.

Q. Okay. So the information comes in for a request from the Superintendent?

A. Right.

Q. And sometimes it has the full travel information and sometimes there has to be contact made --

A. Yes.

Q. -- with Senator Bruno's office; is that correct?

A. Well, yes, but all of the time there has to be contact with his office due to the changes within his schedule that may happen.

Q. Okay. So you're in touch with Senator Bruno's office on these requests routinely?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay, and then the information concerning the actual transportation --
A. Yes.

Q. -- do you get that information?
A. Yes.

Q. And you said sometimes the driver --
A. Right.

Q. -- I am just going to call him that for the sake of the conversation -- gets the information directly from Senator Bruno's office?
A. Yes.

Q. When he does that, does he relay that information back to you?
A. Yes.

Q. Immediately upon receiving it from the Senator's office?
A. No. I can't say, no.

Q. Is there a protocol in place for how that's handled? I mean do you instruct these guys?
A. There's no -- a particular protocol, no. He'll call me at his earliest convenience to say he's doing A, B, C and D.

Q. Okay. So your driver will check back in with you and say this is the assignment --
A. Yes.
Q. -- I am going A, B, C?
A. Yes.
Q. And does anyone else get that information?
A. No.
Q. So it's --
A. -- not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Do you provide that information to anyone else?
A. No.
Q. And to your --
A. Not unless I am requested by a higher up, but no.
Q. We'll get to that.
Do you -- does your driver provide that information to anyone else, to your knowledge?
A. No.
Q. And have you ever instructed your drivers concerning the dissemination of that information?
A. Have I ever?
Q. Told them that they should keep that in-house or not provide it to anyone?
A. No.
Q. You just have told them they're driving
the Senator?
   A. Yes.

Q. But they have experience --
   A. In -- yes.

Q. -- in providing transportation of this type?
   A. Yes.

Q. And how long have you been in this position, the admin. Position --

Q. So you've been working the ground transportation, coordinating the ground transportation since December of '05?
   A. Yes.

Q. And in January of 2007, the new administration came in, the Spitzer administration. Did you receive any changes in your ground transportation protocol as of January?
   A. No.

Q. And since that time have you received any changes in the ground transportation protocol?
   A. No.

Q. Approximately, how many times in 2007 that you recall has ground transportation been
provided to Senator Bruno?

A. Approximately, 15.

Q. And that's since January of 2007?

A. I believe so, yes.

Q. So, let's see, we're in October now. Roughly twice a month you get requests?

A. Some months may go with none. Some may go with three or four. I can't say that that's the exact number, but...

Q. I understand. And those requests come from the Superintendent's office; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Do they come from the Superintendent himself?

A. No.

MR. MOROKNEK: Generally speaking?

MS. TOOHER: Generally speaking.

A. I don't know. I can't -- I -- normally we just see his secretary's name on there and then I would go by that. I didn't look at the two part on the e-mail, so I can't say yes or no that it's directly from him.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. Who is his secretary?
A. Olga. Olga Cordi. I think it's spelled --

MR. VALLE: C-o-r-d-i.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. And she is the Superintendent's secretary?

A. Yes.

Q. And how does she communicate the ground transportation requests to you?

A. Through the e-mail. Once in a while they follow up with a call if I don't let her know that I got the e-mail.

Q. And that will be saying what, generally?

A. Send it to Bruno. He request ground transportation on so-and-so date for him plus three staff, and once in a while it may have to where and his arrival time, and to contact Leslie to send it to his office.

Q. And is Leslie -- do you know her last name?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Is she your standard contact at Senator Bruno's office?

A. Yes.
Q. And are these ground transportation requests usually in coordination with an air travel?
A. Most of the time, yes.
Q. And do you coordinate with the aviation unit?
A. No, I don't.
Q. So you're unaware of what happens in the aviation?
A. What happens with aviation, no.
Q. So you don't have any contact with the aviation unit on Senator Bruno's travel?
A. No, no.
Q. She can't take both of us at once, so if you can just wait until I am finished.
And I am going to ask you about some specific instances where you provided ground transportation for Senator Bruno and see if you can help provide me some information.
A. Would I be -- Can I view those after you ask me or --
Q. Oh, I'll let you look at the documents, yes.
Do you recall back in April of '07
receiving ground transportations for Senator Bruno?

A. Umm, I don't recall, no.

Q. And if you don't, that's fine, just say so. I am going to show you -- I am going ask the reporter first to mark this as Commission's Exhibit 11.

(Commission Exhibit 11 marked for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you what's been marked Commission's Exhibit 11. I've provided a copy to your attorney. And this is a one-page document. It's a copy of an e-mail from Olga Cordi to Mike Kopy, dated April 3rd, 2007 at 3:32 concerning ground transportation for Senator Bruno, and I ask you if you've every seen this?

A. Yes.

Q. And can you identify this?

A. This is a request to the Major and I was also cc'd on it for ground and transportation for Senator Bruno plus three on April 5th.

Q. And is this a fairly standard request?

A. Pretty much so, yes.
Q. This is pretty typical of what you would receive?
   A. Yes.

Q. And I note that it was sent directly to Michael Kopy. Can you identify who that is?
   A. He's the Major or the Troop Commander of Troop NYC.

Q. And that's copied to you and to a Margaret Wiehler.
   A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell me who Margaret Wiehler is?
   A. She's the Major's secretary in Troop NYC.

Q. And is it fairly standard for these requests to be sent to all of these individuals?
   A. Pretty much so, yes.

Q. Do you have any specific recollection of this request or is this just a typical request?
   A. This is just a typical request.

Q. Okay, and does anyone else receive these requests, to your knowledge? Any other individuals copied on the e-mail?
   A. If I am not in the office, then they may have one of the lieutenants handle the case for the detail, but that's very rare.
Q. Okay. So if you're not available and they need someone to handle the detail, there might be someone else who is given the e-mail?
A. Just to make the assignment, yes.
Q. But beyond that, to your knowledge, no one else receives this e-mail?
A. No.

(COMMISSION EXHIBIT 12 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

BY MS. TOOHER:
Q. I am going to give you what's been marked Commission's Exhibit 12, and it has a caption on the top, On or about April 5th, 2007, and there's some handwritten notes underneath. Can you identify this document?
A. No, I can't.
Q. Is this your handwriting?
A. No, sir.
Q. I would note that it's dated Thursday, April 5th. It mentions Senator Bruno needs transportation to New York City. It appears to have the same information as Exhibit 11, but you have never seen this document?
A. No.
BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you what's been marked Commission's 13. It's an e-mail from Michael Kopy to Jeanette Ricciardone, and again this is concerning transportation.

Can you identify this document? Have you ever seen this document?

A. I seen it in the last statements that I had to give.

Q. When you testified before?

A. Yes.

Q. But you had never seen the document before?

A. Not up until then, no.

Q. It appears to be another transportation request around April 30th. It notes transportation for Thursday, May 3rd, and Friday, May 4th.

Do you recall providing transportation on those dates for Senator Bruno?

A. Based on this, yes. I don't recall the particulars.
Q. Okay, but this refreshes your recollection that did you provide transportation --
   A. Yes.
Q. -- on those dates?
   A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any recollection concerning that particular transportation? Was there any delay in approval for that transportation or did you speak to anyone else at that time?
   A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Do you remember speaking to anyone from the Governor's Office on that transportation? Perhaps Marlene Turner?
   A. Who, who is that?
Q. I think that answers the question. And do you know if Major Kopy spoke with anyone on that transportation?
   A. Not that I know of, no.
Q. Okay.

(Commission Exhibit 14 marked for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:
Q. I am going to show you what's been marked.
as Commission's 14. It's an e-mail from you apparently to Randolph -- is it Randolph Donaldson?

A. Yes.

Q. Dated 5/16/2007 at 12:07 concerning ground transportation. Can you identify this document?

A. Yeah, yes, I can.

Q. Can you tell me what it is?

A. This is a, a, a request from Olga for Senator Bruno, and I reached out to Randolph Donaldson, who is also a Senior Investigator, and I was going to use Jimmy Manigault to drive the Senator and I asked him just to make sure that Jimmy calls -- just to make sure that he calls Leslie so that she wouldn't call the super's office to say that we didn't get back to her yet.

Q. And who is Randolph Donaldson?

A. He's a Senior Investigator at the Manhattan D.A.'s office for the State Police.

Q. Why are you forwarding this e-mail to him?

A. Because I had to use one of his guys due to the guys that are normally assigned wasn't
available, so I had to grab someone else that had
previous Governor's detail experience and I wanted
to let him know that I would be using one of his
guys.

Q. Okay. So you did provide the
transportation information to someone outside of
your immediate -- I don't know what I would call
it -- command?

A. Well, he's equal to me. He just doesn't
have the same, the same responsibility as I have
for Troop NYC, but he was also a previous
Governor's detail person.

Q. So you know again that this is someone
with experience in the ground transportation?

A. Yes.

Q. And you can rely on his guys or whomever
you're asking from his command to perform the
functions --

A. Yes.

Q. -- in the appropriate fashion?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you speak to anyone else at that time
about this inquiry?

A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you receive any more information about this trip that's -- this is the May 17 transportation for Senator Bruno?

A. I don't recall if I did.

Q. I am going to show you what's been previously marked as Commission's Exhibit 5. It was marked by an earlier court reporter, so it's Commission's Exhibit 5.

MR. MOROKNEK: I'll look at it with you.

MS. TOOHER: You don't have a copy?

MR. VALLE: He can have mine.

MR. MOROKNEK: That's good, thank you.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. Can you identify this document?

A. This looks like a schedule for Senator Bruno and his staff for May 17th and May 18th, but then New York, for the New York City area.

Q. Have you seen this document before?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. And when is the first time you saw this
document?
   A. I don't remember.
   Q. Did you receive this document when you
received the original request to provide
transportation to Senator Bruno?
   A. I can't say for certain. I don't know.
   Q. Would it be typical for you to receive a
document with this kind of detail when you got a
request for transportation for Senator Bruno?
   MR. MOROKNEK: Do you understand
   what she means by typical?
   A. Once in a while, yes.
   BY MS. TOOHER:
   Q. Do you remember receiving this from
Senator Bruno's office?
   A. I can't say for certain. It might have
been faxed down, but I would only be -- I am not
sure.
   Q. When I look at this itinerary, it's a
trip to New York City, Thursday, May 17th, and
Friday, May 18th. It indicates the helicopter and
a number N43, it looks like GNY.
   MR. MOROKNEK: 0.
   BY MS. TOOHER:
Q. Do you know what that number would be?
A. That's the tail number on the helicopter.
Q. And does Senator Bruno usually provide the tail number when they provide their itineraries?
A. I don't know.
Q. And I note that this itinerary, about halfway down the page, says driver. The New York State Police will pick you up and take you to and from all meetings. Driver, Investigator James Manigault?
A. Yes.
Q. How would Senator Bruno's office have that information?
A. We would have probably called there to let Leslie know who the driver would be.
Q. Do you have any recollection of calling Leslie to give her that information?
A. There's a possibility, but I can't say. Normally, I would make the call. I don't know if I made the call on this one or not.
Q. So normally you will call Leslie and let her know who the driver is?
A. Yes.

Q. And does Leslie typically follow up with an itinerary that includes the driver?

A. I can't say for sure.

Q. It also says the New York State Police will pick you up, in the first person. Is it possible that someone at the State Police prepared this document?

A. Is it possible? Maybe, but to my knowledge, no.

Q. And in your experience have you ever prepared something like this for the Senator's office?

A. No.

Q. And in your experience, to your knowledge, have any of the drivers ever prepared something like this for the Senator's office?

A. What do you mean for the Senator's office? I don't understand.

Q. Well, it appears to have come from Senator Bruno's office at some point. Is it possible that one of the drivers sent this up to Senator Bruno to lay out -- it's got the tail number; who the driver is.
(ANTHONY D. WILLIAMS - 10/2/07)

A. Is it possible? No. I don't -- I can't
speak for the drivers, but in my experience I
would have to say no.

Q. So you don't have any direct recollection
of receiving this from Senator Bruno's office?
A. Not totally, no, but we're talking about
May now and I don't remember if it came from his
office or not.

Q. Do you recall receiving a request from
the Superintendent concerning this trip?
A. This trip in particular? No. I remember
a request -- I don't know which trip it was for.

(Coimmission Exhibit 15 marked
for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you what's been marked
as Commission 15. It's a one-page document from
Preston Felton, or Felton, Preston to Anthony
Williams, 5/21/2007, 3:06:58 p.m. regarding
itinerary, and I ask you if this refreshes your
recollection for receiving a request from the
Superintendent for the May 17th itinerary?
A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall getting this request from
the Superintendent?

A. Yes.

Q. And when he says, "Can you send me up the information on that trip that the majority leader did last week, itinerary for the trip ASAP," what itinerary was he referring to?

A. I would assume it was the one from the previous week, which would possibly be the May 17th and the May 18th trip.

Q. And your response is, "I faxed it up."

Do you recall what you faxed to him?

A. If it's this trip, then it was this fax, yes.

Q. So I just want to be clear. Is your testimony that you faxed him Commission's Exhibit 5 on the May 21st date?

A. Yes.

Q. And is that your first recollection of actually seeing this document?

MR. MOROKNEK: When you say "This document," what document are you talking about?

MS. TOOHER: Commission's 5.

MR. MOROKNEK: Which is the
A. Is that the first time I seen it? No, this was actually faxed down to us from the Senator's office then.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. And do you recall when it was faxed to you?

A. No.

Q. So you faxed it to the Superintendent on the 21st. Do you recall how you got it in your hands that day?

A. It had to be faxed previously before this.

Q. So on the 21st it was faxed to you?

A. It was faxed before the 21st.

Q. You received it in advance of the trip on the 15th?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you -- do you have a file that you keep that you would have had this itinerary in?

A. No.

Q. So how did you get the itinerary to fax it to the Superintendent on the 21st?
A. How did I get it through the fax? It was possibly faxed down to me and I might have faxed it to, to the investigator, who was assigned, and it was left on my desk in a folder.

Q. So it might have been on your desk for a few days after the initial fax?

A. Possibly, yes.

Q. Do you have any direct recollection of that?

A. No.

Q. Do you recall directly faxing it to the Superintendent, though?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you fax it to anyone else prior to sending it to the Superintendent?

A. I might have faxed it to Randy Donaldson or to Jimmy Manigault.

Q. You might have?

A. I don't, I don't recall. It sounds familiar, but I am not 100 percent sure.

MR. VALLE: I think the witness is relating to prior to the driver, not...

MS. TOOHER: Oh, yeah, I
MR. VALLE: Okay.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. Would it be your practice if you received a full itinerary like this to fax it to another investigator, if they were assigning a driver?

A. If he has no means of contacting the Senator's office and if I have it in the front of me, then -- so that we're not making 2 and 3 calls, if I have it, I would just fax it over to them.

Q. And do you recall faxing it to anyone else?

A. No.

(Commission Exhibit 16 marked for identification.)

MS. TOOHER: I'm going to stop so that we can go off the record for one second for a technical difficulty.

(Discussion off the record.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you what's been marked Commission's Exhibit 16 and this is an e-mail from
Darren Dopp to William Howard dated 5/17/2007 at 12:59 p.m. Subject is time checks. If you look down below, I checked the times; 12:30 at CV Starr; 3:30 at the Sheraton. Have you ever seen this document before?

A. No.

Q. If I can ask you to just compare this to the information on the fax, Commission's 15, 12:30 at CV Starr and 3:30 at the Sheraton appears to parallel it.

Do you have any idea how Mr. Howard would have received this information?

A. No, I don't.

Q. And do you have any recollection of faxing this information to Mr. Howard?

A. I don't even know Mr. Howard.

Q. After the May 17 trip, do you recall any other requests from the Superintendent for itineraries for Senator Bruno?

A. From him? Directly, no.

Q. Do you recall any other requests from the Superintendent indirectly for information concerning Senator Bruno's travel itineraries?

A. I was told -- I was asked for the
schedules for the month of May through my Major Kopy, K-o-p-y.

(Co$mmission Exhibit 17 marked for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you what's been marked as Commission's Exhibit 17. It's an e-mail from Jeanette Ricciardone, R-i-c-c-i-a-r-d-o-n-e, to Anthony Williams 5/21/2007 concerning transportation. Have you seen this document before?

A. Yes.

Q. Can you identify this document?

A. Yes. It's a request for ground transportation for Senator Bruno on May 24th and it's from Jeanette, who -- she works in the Superintendent's office. She's the First Deputy Superintendent's secretary.

Q. And do you recall again receiving any request from the Superintendent for copies of the itinerary concerning this transportation?

A. No, I don't recall at this time, no.

(Co$mmission Exhibit 18 marked for identification.)
(ANTHONY D. WILLIAMS - 10/2/07)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you what's been marked Commission's Exhibit 18 from Felton Preston to Anthony Williams, 5/22/2007 at 9:15:52 AM.

Subject: Latest. Can you identify this document?

A. This is a request from the Superintendent asking for the schedule for Senator Bruno for the trip from yesterday.

Q. Do you know what trip he's asking about?

A. I would like to say that it's from the --

a request that I received from Jeanette on the 21st of May.

Q. You would like to say, but you don't feel comfortable saying? Do you believe it's about that trip?

A. I don't feel comfortable -- I don't know if it was for the May 17th trip or the trip that is coming up. I don't recall.

Q. You do recall receiving this e-mail; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And the e-mail does ask for the trip they called about the day before, which would be 5/21; is that correct?
A. Yes.

Q. And do you have any reason to believe that the e-mail was inaccurate when you received it?

A. At that time, no. But from here, as I'm looking at it, I don't, I don't remember if it was for this trip or the trip the week before.

Q. Do you recall receiving two requests from the Superintendent?

A. As I look at this here, yes, but I just thought that it was one, the request at one point, so...

Q. So you don't recall receiving the second request?

A. It looks familiar, but I don't recall.

Q. And I think you testified earlier that you received these travel itinerary requests or the travel requests from the Superintendent's office directly; is that correct?

A. The initial request, yes.

Q. Okay. Did it strike you as odd that you were now receiving requests from the Superintendent's office for the itineraries for this information?
A. What do you mean odd?

Q. Had you ever received requests for the itineraries for Senator Bruno's travel in the past?

A. No.

Q. And you get the request initially from the Superintendent's office; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Well, it would seem that, to me it seems somewhat odd that you're now getting a request for the same information back from the Superintendent.

MR. MOROKNEK: The question is with regard to what?

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. Did it ever seem unusual to you that the Superintendent was now requesting this information?

A. No.

Q. But you had never received those requests before?

A. No.

Q. And do you recall providing information concerning the Senator's travel itinerary on the 23rd of May?
A. I don't recall the dates.

Q. Do you recall providing itineraries beyond the May 17 itinerary?

A. I don't recall at this point, no.

MR. MOROKNEK: Can we take a quick minute?

MS. TOOHER: Sure.

MR. MOROKNEK: I would appreciate that.

(Break taken.)

MR. MOROKNEK: Thank you, folks.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Can I ask a question, please. Mr. Williams, you just had a conversation with these three people seated behind you just now.

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Was Mr. Macintosh there?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: What was said to you and what did you say in that conversation?

A. That some of the dates I don't recall.

MR. TEITELBAUM: That was the sum and substance of what you said over the last
several minutes?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: And what was said to you?

A. What was said? That if I didn't recall the dates, then I don't, I don't recall them, and that this is just a small part of what I actually do as far as my responsibility.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Have you told us the entire conversation that took place outside the door just now?

A. Yes.

(Commission Exhibit 19 marked for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you what's been marked Commission's Exhibit 19. It's an e-mail from Felton Preston to Anthony Williams dated 5/23/2007 regarding schedule.

MS. TOOHER: Do you have that?

MR. MOROKNEK: Thank you.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. Can you identify this document?

A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what it is?
A. This is a -- the Superintendent is responding back to me stating that he received the schedule for Senator Bruno for May 24th.
Q. And does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not you sent the Superintendent the Senator's itinerary for May 24th?
A. Yes.
Q. So is your answer now that yes, you did send the Superintendent the Senator's itinerary for May 24th?
A. Yes.
Q. And to your knowledge was the Superintendent providing that information to anyone else?
A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. And were you ever advised that the Superintendent provided that information to anyone else?
A. No.
Q. And if I told you that the Superintendent provided that information to Bill Howard, would you beware of that?
A. Now I would; but then, no.
Q. How are you aware of that now?
A. You just stated that if you provided me that; otherwise, I wouldn't be aware, no.
Q. So before I made that statement, did you have any knowledge that the Superintendent had forwarded the Senator's itinerary to anyone else?
A. No.
Q. You had no knowledge of that?
A. No.
Q. You have not been aware that the Superintendent provided itineraries to other individuals?
A. I don't know what was provided to anybody else from him, so no.
Q. And do you recall providing anything else to the Superintendent that day concerning the Senator's itinerary?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you recall providing any information concerning the May 24th itinerary to the Superintendent beyond that original schedule?
A. It was probably provided when I was either requested to send up the May schedules through Major Kopy.
Q. But you have no recollection of sending any additional information in advance of the May 24th trip?

A. No recollection.

MS. TOOHER: One second.

Let's go off the record for one second. I apologize.

(Break taken.)

(Commission Exhibit 20 marked for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you what's been marked as Commission's Exhibit 20. It's a one-page document from Felton Preston at the top has William Howard. Note, change in schedule, and then there's an e-mail below, Anthony Williams, Investigator Swansen was just contacted with the change of schedule. Can you identify this document?

A. Yes, it's a change in schedule that I sent to the Superintendent.

Q. So do you now recall sending a change of schedule in advance of the May 24th trip to the Superintendent?
A. Yes.

Q. Okay, and is there a particular reason that you were sending him the change in schedule?

A. Yes, because he asked for the schedule the first time and I wanted to give him the accurate schedule.

Q. And this is in advance to the trip actually occurring; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And had you received any request from the Superintendent to keep you posted on the Senator's trip?

A. No.

Q. And had you received any requests from anyone concerning the Senator's movement in keeping the Superintendent advised?

A. No.

Q. Had you received a request from anyone to keep them advised concerning the Senator's movements on the May 24th trip?

A. No.

Q. So you sent this of your own initiative; is that your testimony?

A. Yes, I did.
Q. And were you aware that the Superintendent was forwarding this information to Mr. Howard?

A. At that time, I, I didn't pay much mind to the, to who the e-mail was sent to. So I didn't pay much mind to it going to William Howard.

Q. But were you aware that it was going to Mr. Howard?

MR. MOROKNEK: At what point in time was he aware?

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. When you sent it to the Superintendent.

A. No.

Q. And did you become aware that it was forwarded to Mr. Howard?

A. If I did, I didn't pay much mind to it.

Q. So do you have any recollection as to when you became aware that Mr. Howard was receiving this information?

A. No.

Q. Do you have any knowledge concerning the Superintendent providing information to Mr. Howard of the Senator's travels?
A. No.

Q. And --

A. Can I just state something?

Q. Sure.

A. I'm in charge of five or six civilians and this is just a really small part of what, of what I did. So a lot of this, even though I did it, I don't remember exactly everything that's going on here. This is really a small part of what I do down there.

And for ground transportations, I would normally get the e-mails and follow everything that I said earlier, and this would really go to the side. Up until it would come back up, I would face it then, and then it's pushed back over. I do so much at work there that this is really a small part of what I do, so...

Q. I do understand that and I am certainly only asking you to testify from your recollection. But you also have already testified about these trips and this information in front of the Attorney General's Office and the Inspector General's Office. So it would seem that your recollection in this regard might have been
refreshed once, twice, now maybe three times.

So that although I am sure you have a lot
of other responsibilities, I would also suggest
that perhaps your recollection on these trips
might stand out from some of the general
assignments on ground transportation.

A. It might with the forms in front of me,
but prior to me seeing the forms, these dates will
not mean much.

Q. I understand, Mr. Williams, and all I am
asking you for is your truthful and honest
testimony here today.

A. Okay.

Q. Now, after the trip on 5/24, do you
recall receiving any other requests concerning the
itineraries for Senator Bruno?

A. Do I recall? The only thing that comes
to mind is for the May, for the schedules for the
month of May.

Q. When you say the schedules for the month
of May, what are you referring to?

A. For the schedules for Senator Bruno that
I was, I was requested to give to Major Kopy.

Q. Okay, and the schedules that you were
requested to give to Major Kopy, let's talk about
those. You received a request from the Major
himself?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall when you received that
request?
A. Early June.
Q. And who is Major Kopy in terms of the
chain of command to you?
A. He's, he's in charge of Troop NYC. So
it's the Major, Captain, Lieutenant, Senior
Investigator.
Q. So you don't report directly to him?
A. I do, yes.
Q. You do?
A. Yes.
Q. But -- you just gave me Major,
Lieutenant. So he is your direct report?
A. It's hard to explain, but I report
directly to a few individuals. There's no one in,
in particular.
Q. But when Major Kopy comes to you with a
request for the transportation schedules, this is
your senior officer making a request of you; is
that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay, and in early June, he came and he made a request for what?

A. For the schedules for Senator Bruno for the month of May.

Q. And did he give you particular dates?

A. Just for the month of May, if my memory serves correct.

Q. And what did he ask you to do?

A. He asked me to give him the schedules for the month of May.

Q. Did he ask you to put it in writing? Did he give you any details? Did he give you any information?

A. Since there was a few of them, I assume that it was to be done in writing, but no particular format.

Q. Did he give you a writing to that effect? Did he give you a memo? Did you speak with him? How did this occur?

A. I don't recall. I don't know if it was through the e-mail or through us passing by in the hallway. I don't recall.
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(Commission Exhibit 21 marked for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you a document that's been marked Commission Exhibit 21 and ask if you've ever seen this document before.

A. I can't say that I have.

Q. You don't recall seeing this document before?

A. No.

Q. And you don't recall being asked about this in your AG testimony or your IG testimony?

A. I don't recall at this time, no.

(By Commission Exhibit 22 marked for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I show you a document that's been marked Commission's Exhibit 22. I ask you if you've seen this document before? I'm sorry, it's a one-page document, Facsimile Transmission Report. New York State Police, Troop NYCSP, Manhattan.

A. Yes.

Q. And can you identify this document?

A. I first seen it in one of my testimonies
earlier in the summer.

Q. And can you identify the document?
A. It's a fax from Major Kopy to the Superintendent.

Q. And do you know what this document is?
A. From what's listed at the bottom, it's copies of the May itinerary.

Q. Do you recall discussing this with Major Kopy?
A. Not this fax, no.

Q. Do you recall discussing the dates, May 3rd, 4th, 24th?
A. Yes.

Q. What was your discussion in that regard?
A. Those I believe are the dates that we were providing ground transportation for the Senator.

Q. And did you provide any documents to Major Kopy concerning these dates?
A. Yes, I did.

Q. Do you recall what those documents were?
A. They were probably the itineraries for the Senator for those dates.

Q. And when you say itineraries, what do you
mean?

A. Schedules.

Q. Where did you get those itineraries?

A. Some of them I believe I had notes from, and other ones I called the investigators on, who was assigned to drive the Senator on those dates.

Q. And did you reduce that to a writing?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you provide that writing to Major Kopy?

A. Yes.

(Commission Exhibit 23 marked for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I am going to show you what's been marked Commission Exhibit 23. At the top it says Michael Kopy, transport document, and May 3rd and 4th, Investigator John Colon. Below there's May 17 to May 24. Can you identify this document?

A. Yes. I believe this is what I provided to the Major regarding his request.

Q. And can you tell me what this information reflects?

A. This is a schedule of the Senator's
schedule for those dates.

Q. It's a schedule of the Senator's transportation on the given dates?
A. Yes.

Q. And where did you get this information?
A. From the investigators, if I didn't have the notes written down.

Q. Do you recall your preparation process for this document?
A. Yes.

Q. Can you describe it for me, please.
A. Once I received the request, I checked to see if I had any notes for these dates and if I did, I put it in e-mail form; and the dates that I didn't have, I called the investigators up to see if they had any notes on it.

Q. And do you recall -- Let's start with May 3rd and 4th, whether you had notes for that date.
A. I might have had the initial e-mail, the initial request, so I might have had some. I don't remember exactly.

Q. And what about May 17th, do you recall if you had notes for that date?
A. I don't recall -- I think I just had the
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initial request.

Q. And so you spoke with Investigator Cartright?
A. Yes.

Q. And what about May 24th, do you recall if you had notes for that date?
A. I believe I had the fax -- no, I don't recall.

Q. So did you speak with Investigator Swansen?
A. Yes.

Q. And did you speak with Investigator Colon as well?
A. Yes, I did.

Q. And this was what you provided to the Major of an accurate reflection of the Senator's transportation on those dates?
A. Yes.

Q. And were you aware when you provided this to the Major that you were -- that it was going to be provided to the Superintendent?
A. No.

Q. What did you think the Major was gathering this information for?
A. I didn't think much of it.

Q. Did you ever become aware that it was being provided to the Superintendent?

A. I think later down the line, yes.

Q. Okay, and I am just going to ask you to look again at Commission's Exhibit 5, which is the itinerary for Senator Bruno for the May 17th and 18th date, and compare that to the May 17 description contained in your e-mail.

A. Okay.

Q. Do they match?

A. No.

Q. Can you explain that discrepancy?

A. Yes. We were unsure on the May 17th date and --

Q. "We" is who?

A. Myself and Cartright. Cartright didn't remember which day. So initially he was, he was assigned to actually do the May 17th day and something came up, I believe personal, so he was unable to do that day. So he actually did a day either a week earlier or a week after. I don't remember the date right now.

The May 17th date is actually the date
that Jimmy Manigault did. He was assigned, I believe, late that evening on the 16th or early on the 17th, so...

Q. But your testimony earlier was that had you faxed this information to the Superintendent on, I believe, it was the 21st of May; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And so you had this information in your control?

A. Yes.

Q. But you provided this information to the Major. Did you ever go back and check and compare with your earlier itineraries?

MR. TEITELBAUM: "This information" being Exhibit 23?

MS. TOOHER: Yes.

A. Did I ever go back? This one I couldn't find at the time or I -- it was in between the papers that I had, so I mistakenly took the May 17th date as being this one on Exhibit 23.

MR. TEITELBAUM: When you say "This one," Mr. Williams, which one?
THE WITNESS: Exhibit 5, the actual schedule for May 17th and May 18th.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Gotcha.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. Okay, and you had indicated that you went through your prior earlier e-mails when you were reconstructing these schedules; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. But you didn't catch the May 17th error at that time either?

A. No, I didn't.

Q. And that was the document that you provided to Major Kopy; is that correct?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. And was anything further done with this information by the Major?

A. I don't know. I don't recall.

Q. Or did you ever have any further discussions with the Major concerning these dates and the travels of Senator Bruno?

A. I don't recall.

Q. You don't recall having any further discussions with the Major concerning these
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itineraries?

A. No.

Q. I am going to show you what's been previously marked as Commission's 1, 2 and 3 and they've already been identified for the record, but I'll identify them to you, and they are transportation assignment for Senator Joseph Bruno for May 3rd and 4th as Commission 1; Senator Bruno's transportation assignment for May 17th is Exhibit 2; and Senator Bruno's transportation assignment for May 24 is Exhibit 3.

Have you seen these documents before?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. Can you identify them?

A. I believe these are the documents that Major Kopy copied from what I sent him.

Q. And did you participate with Major Kopy in creating these documents?

A. Participated in what way?

Q. Were you present when he was creating the documents?

A. I was in and out of his office.

Q. And had you seen them before your testimony with the AG and the IG?
A. If I'm correct, I believe I stated that I seen them on his computer.

Q. So you were aware when he was creating the documents on the computer; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And I'm going to go back to Exhibit 23, and there's notes on the bottom that appear to say separate pages, trip to Senator Bruno, bond paper. Do you know what those notes refer to?

A. Absolutely not.

Q. Do you know how these -- the information contained in your single e-mail became three separate itineraries?

A. Do I know how? No.

Q. You saw Major Kopy create them; isn't that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. So --

A. Right. Yes, he created them, but why did he print them out? I don't know.

Q. Were you aware that he was having discussions with the Superintendent during this time?

A. No.
Q. Major Kopy had not relayed to you that this request was from the Superintendent?

A. I can't say if it was at that time or not. I don't remember.

Q. Did you ever become aware that this request was from the Superintendent?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall when that was?

A. No. No, I don't.

Q. And do you recall discussing format of the documents with Major Kopy?

A. I believe that the only thing that might have been said was that that's the way that we get our work schedules for the Governor's detail and VIPs.

Q. When you say that's the way, what do you mean?

A. That, that format.

Q. The format contained in Commission's Exhibit 1, 2 and 3?

A. Yes.

Q. And you relayed to Major Kopy that that was the way you received your information for the Governor's detail?
1. A. Yes.
2. Q. And do you recall anything further about that conversation?
3. A. No, I don't.
4. Q. So you were trying to make these documents look more formal?
5. A. Yes.
6. Q. And what was your understanding as to why you were doing that?
7. A. That was just the normal way that I did -- that I was used to seeing these and it's easy to read.
8. Q. When you say used to seeing these, what do you mean? In what context?
9. A. I used to be on the Governor's detail and this format is the way that things were done normally by, by the VIP's when they came in.
10. Q. So you had, you had not done this previously; is that correct?
11. A. Previously for --
12. Q. Before doing these documents, before seeing Major Kopy create these documents, you had not created these kind of formal documents for the itinerary for Senator Bruno; is that correct?
A. Yes.

Q. And Major Kopy was now doing this after the fact to create a more formal record of the itineraries; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And do you know why he was doing that?

A. At that point, no.

Q. So he never explained to you that he was preparing these documents for the Superintendent?

A. He's a Major, he really didn't have to explain that to me, so...

Q. I understand that he didn't have to explain this to you, but did he ever say to you that he was doing this for the Superintendent? He wanted it to look nice? He was trying to make it more formal?

A. Not prior to, no.

Q. So you had no understanding as to why he had asked you for the information or why it was now being prepared in a more formal format?

A. No.

Q. And did there ever come a time that you were aware as to why this was taking place?

A. Yes.
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Q. And when was that?
A. I don't recall when.

Q. Was it prior to your testimony before the Attorney General?
A. I'm not sure.

Q. You were present when Major Kopy was working on the documents; is that correct?
A. I was in and out of his office, yes.

Q. And were you aware that he was talking with the Superintendent during this time frame?
A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Mr. Williams, I thought you just testified that Major Kopy didn't explain to you why he was involved in creating these documents prior to the time the documents were created. Do I have your testimony correct?
A. Can you repeat that?

MR. TEITELBAUM: I believe you testified that Kopy did not explain to you why he was creating the documents prior to the time the documents were being created. Is that a correct statement of your testimony?
A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Did he explain to
you after the documents were created at any time
why they were being created?
   A. I believe he stated that they were for
   the Superintendent.

MR. TEITELBAUM: When did he tell
you that?
   A. I don't recall the exact time.

MR. TEITELBAUM: The best you can
do.
   A. I can't say if it was that day; if it was
the next day. I don't recall.

MR. TEITELBAUM: It was within days?
   A. Possibly. I don't recall.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Is that your best
collection that it was within days?
   A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Okay, and when did
that conversation take place; do you remember?
   A. It had to be in the office. I don't know
where at. I don't even know if it was over the
phone or not, so...

MR. TEITELBAUM: Was it just the two
of you in that conversation, you and Kopy?
   A. I would like to assume so, but I don't
recall.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Is that your best recollection that it was the two of you?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: And what did Kopy say to you, as best you can recall? If you can't give me the exact words, give me the gist.

A. Something to the extent that the request came from the Super's office.

MR. TEITELBAUM: What was the, what was the context in which the conversation between you and Kopy took place in which he told you that it was the Superintendent that had requested this?

A. What do you mean?

MR. TEITELBAUM: What was the -- had you gone up to Kopy, for example, and asked him why these documents were created, or did he just come out of the blue and tell me why they were being created, or was it some other reason, some other context in which it triggered the conversation on this subject?

A. Oh, I don't recall. I mean I really don't know.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Then you, I think
you testified that the format that you used in
these documents, I think they were being created,
was a format similar to or identical to the format
that was used for these kinds of documents,
itineraries when you were on the Governor's
details; is that right?
A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Now, why were you
using that model as the model for the creation of
the documents?
A. Because it was easy to read and that's
what I was used to seeing.

MR. TEITELBAUM: When was the last
time that you created documents of that sort prior
to the time that the documents have been marked as
exhibits were created?
A. I can't say that I have -- I created any, but it doesn't mean that I haven't, but I can't
say.

If, in fact, I had to send these to, to
one of the investigators that wasn't able to
contact Leslie, I would probably put it in the
same format so-and-so time arriving at the
helipad; so-and-so time lunch here. And it's just
MR. TEITELBAUM: By the way, on Exhibit 23, which you have in front of you, do you recognize the handwriting?

A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: You draw an absolute blank on it? No familiarity with it?

A. I don't recognize it.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Okay. You testified that the area that Ms. Tooher had been asking you about in terms of your functions is a small part of the overall functions that you perform; correct?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Now, with respect to the itinerary of Senator Bruno that occurred in May, is it fair to say that your involvement with those trips and the reporting on those trips was greater than your involvement with other trips that took place by other dignitaries?

A. Is it fair to say?

MR. TEITELBAUM: Yeah.

A. Not necessarily, no.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Had you been asked
with respect to other dignitaries to reconstruct itineraries?

A. In that aspect, no.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Have you been asked with respect to other dignitaries to interview the drivers to get information afterwards as to where the dignitaries were driven? Has that ever happened before?

A. I don't believe so.

MR. VALLE: Excuse me, can you establish whether he --

MR. TEITELBAUM: Let me --

MR. VALLE: -- dignitaries in that capacity?

MR. TEITELBAUM: Let me ask the questions. On Exhibit 20, in the -- at 10:25 in the morning of May 23rd, you send an e-mail to Superintendent Felton; is that correct?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: And in there you say that as per Leslie (JB) -- JB refers to Joseph Bruno; correct?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: And Leslie is
somebody in his office; correct?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: (Continuing)

office, the schedule is as follows, and then you put in parenthesis, this was given to me over the phone. I was not given any other specifics regarding the schedule.

Now, why were you telling Superintendent Felton the manner in which you received the information?

A. Because that's the way that it was given to me.

MR. TEITELBAUM: But why were you telling him that? Do you typically inform people when you're sending them e-mails concerning information as to whether you got the information by telephone or telefax or you read it or you heard it? Do you typical do that?

A. Yes. It depends on who I am speaking to.

MR. TEITELBAUM: So is it your testimony that typically when you communicate with the Superintendent and you're conveying information to him, you specify to him how you received the information; is that your testimony?
A. Sometimes, yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Is that typical?

A. At times, yes.

MR. MOROKNEK: What do you mean by typical?

MR. TEITELBAUM: More often than not.

A. I can't say.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Then later on you say, I was not given any other specifics regarding his schedule. Why do you tell him that?

A. Because that was all that I had as far as the schedule goes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Isn't this an extraordinary request that was made of you?

A. I didn't think much of it.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Wasn't it an extraordinary request that was made of you?

A. I didn't think much of it.

MR. TEITELBAUM: I didn't ask whether you thought about it. I asked you if it was an extraordinary request.
MR. MOROKNEK: Counsel, let me ask you about the term "Extraordinary."

MR. TEITELBAUM: Out of the ordinary. This is not a deposition.

MR. MOROKNEK: I understand that.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Let me ask you --

MR. MOROKNEK: I think he answered your question.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Let me ask my question.

MR. MOROKNEK: I think he answered it, but if you want to rephrase it, that would be fine with us.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Do you know what I mean by extraordinary?

A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Extraordinary means it's out of the ordinary. It's not ordinary. Was this request out of the ordinary that was made of
you?

A. I didn't think so, no.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Okay. Now, in terms of document retention that you engage in, do you typically retain written itineraries that you receive from the office of dignitaries?

A. For a -- for myself, yes, but not, not for a certain period of time, no.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Do you mean by that that you retain them for a while and then you destroy them?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Is there a -- do you have in mind a period that you keep them for? Is it weeks? Months?

A. It maybe a month or two.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Where do you put them?

A. In a file on my desk.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Right on top of your desk?

A. It's a...

MR. MACINTOSH: Organizer?

A. Yeah, organizer and it's in a folder, if
it's not on an e-mail.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Is there a reason why you retain these itineraries for the, whatever period of time you retain them?

A. No reason in specifics, but I like to know who did what. And just in case there's a change that has to be made as far as us, who's driving, I can then tell the next person, this is what I have.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Just so the record is clear, when you say who did what, you're talking about the drivers; correct?

A. Yes. And, and if there's a need to send another car to where the driver might be in case something happened, I know firsthand where he's at.

MR. TEITELBAUM: I wanted to ask you some questions about the testimony that you gave us this morning early on regarding the training that you received by the NYPD, and I think you testified that one of the things that you learned was where to sit a dignitary in a car. Can you tell me more about that?

A. Yes. The ideal situation is for a
dignitary to possibly sit in the back seat. That's not always what, what takes place. Different dignitaries, they may want to sit in the front seat; some of them may want to sit in the middle of the back seat. We really can't tell them where to, to sit, so...

MR. TEITELBAUM: Okay. Why is it best to have them sit in the back seat?

A. That's probably the safest place for them to sit and most of the time, say for instance if it's the Governor, we'll have a second guy sitting in the front seat.

MR. TEITELBAUM: And keeping the official, the Governor or whoever else, in the back seat is better for what reason? Safer for what reason?

A. Safer for accidents. There's probably less of a chance of him getting hurt in the back seat than it is in the front seat.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Meaning in an accident situation or something else?

A. Yes, or something else. It's, it's just a policy that NYPD taught us.

MR. TEITELBAUM: What -- During the
course that you took both at NYPD and the online course you took, what other concerns does somebody like yourself or your colleagues need to have in protecting a dignitary when you're driving him?

A. What are some of the --

MR. TEITELBAUM: What are some of the concerns you need to have, yeah.

A. Concerns?

MR. TEITELBAUM: Yeah.

A. Just being aware of anything happening. I mean there's -- anything can happen, so just constantly being aware of your surroundings.

MR. TEITELBAUM: The dignitary could be harmed by something; is that what you're referring to?

A. That's a possibility, yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: I mean did they discuss that with you in the class when you went online, what kind of situations you need to look out for?

A. Snipers.

MR. TEITELBAUM: How would you do that? I mean how would you -- what would you do to kind of reduce the risk of harm by a sniper?
A. There's really not much that you can do.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Anything else? Any other concerns that you need to be aware of?

A. I don't understand your...

MR. TEITELBAUM: Well, when you went through these courses, obviously -- you correct me if I'm wrong -- obviously, what they were training you to do was to the extent you could protect the dignitary; correct?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: And you're protecting the dignitary from harm; right?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: And one harm you possibly mentioned to us is an automobile accident, right? Correct?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: And another type of harm could be a sniper; correct?

A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Any other kinds of harms that you have to be on the lookout for?

A. There's -- it can be anything.

MR. TEITELBAUM: So have you to be
concerned, is that --
A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: You have to be concerned and alert and on the watch; is that true?
A. Yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: And is that also true of your colleagues and the guys who were driving, were they aware of the need to protect the dignitary during the process?
A. I believe so, yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Did you have any conversations with Superintendent Felton concerning this matter, the issues raised of providing information to -- concerning Bruno's travels during May? Did you have any conversations with Superintendent Felton?
A. Just the e-mails.

MR. TEITELBAUM: No face-to-face interaction?
A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: No telephone interaction?
A. No.
MR. TEITELBAUM: How about anybody from the executive chain, anybody in the executive chain get a hold of you and talk to you about this matter?
A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: How about Kopy?
A. As far as this, yes. The schedules go, yes.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Post or July 1st?
After July 1st, did you have conversations with Kopy?
A. Yes, we would say the Troopergate is back in the papers, but nothing in general about this. It's like, oh, did you read the post?

MR. MOROKNEK: Did you mean you specifically?
A. Right. The daily news or the news that day, but nothing specifically about this, no.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Nothing about the course of events that occurred --
A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: -- concerning Senator Bruno's travels?
A. No.
(ANTHONY D. WILLIAMS - 10/2/07)

(Break taken.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. I showed you the three itineraries here, which the last one is May 24th, Commission Exhibit 3. Did there come a time when you were requested to provide information again concerning Senator Bruno's itineraries?

A. Yes.

Q. And do you recall approximately when that was?

A. Either late June or early July. I am not exactly sure.

Q. And where did that request come from?

A. Captain Marmion.

Q. And who is Captain Marmion?

A. He's under Major Kopy in the chain of command for Troop NYC.

Q. So, I'm sorry, Captain Marmion?

A. Yes.

Q. Is under Major Kopy?

A. Yes.

Q. And you would come normally under Captain Marmion?

A. Yes.
Q. And what was that request?
   A. To supply him with the itinerary for the month of June for the Senator.

Q. And I think you indicated earlier that the Superintendent had directly requested the prior itineraries, the May 17 and the May 24 from you in the e-mails?
   A. Can I look back?

Q. Yes.
   A. Yes.

Q. And had you provided those to him directly?
   A. Yes.

Q. And you had not gone through Major Kopy?
   A. No.

Q. Is that unusual outside the chain of command?
   A. No.

Q. Do you communicate with the Superintendent directly often?
   A. Every now and then, yes.

Q. Did you communicate with him directly about any of the other itineraries here?
   A. No.
Q. Did you communicate with him about the preparation of these itineraries?
A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: "These" meaning?

MR. MOROKNEK: Please identify them.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. Commission's 1, 2 and 3.
A. No.

Q. And what was Captain Marmion's request to you?
A. To give him the schedule for the month of June for Senator Bruno.

Q. And do you recall how many transportation assignments there were for the month of June?
A. I believe it was one. I am not certain on that.

Q. When did this request come to you?

MR. MOROKNEK: Again, Captain Marmion's request?

MS. TOOHER: Correct.

A. I believe it was late June, if I am not mistaken.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. And he was looking for any transportation
on Senator Bruno? Any ground transportation that you had provided?

A. Yes.

(Commission Exhibit 24 marked for identification.)

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. And you provided him information concerning Senator Bruno's travel?

A. Yes.

Q. And do you know what date that was for?

A. In June.

Q. It was in June?

A. I believe so.

Q. And what information did you provide to Captain Marmion?

A. I believe it was a, the schedule for Senator Bruno.

Q. You don't recall when that was?

A. No.

Q. Do you recall how you provided it to him?

A. I believe with an e-mail.

Q. And I am going to show you what's been marked Commission's Exhibit 24. It's an e-mail, one-page document from Thomas Marmion to Felton
Preston. Down below a reference to Anthony Williams. The date is 7/2/2007 at 3:14 PM.

Forward: Ground transportation. Can you identify this document?

A. Yes, this is a -- it's the information regarding ground transportation for June 27th for Senator Bruno.

Q. And does that contain the entire information that you were aware of at that time concerning the ground transportation?

A. No, but I also believe that it is -- there is an attachment to this, if I am not mistaken.

Q. What kind of attachment was there?

A. With the schedule on it.

Q. When you say the schedule --

A. The schedule for Senator Bruno for this date.

Q. I am going to show you what's previously been marked as Commission's 4 and I ask you if you can identify this document?

A. Yes. This is what I e-mailed to Captain Marmion regarding his request for the ground transportation for the date of June 27th for
Senator Bruno.

Q. And so you provided that to him with the initial schedule --

A. I believe so.

Q. -- as an attachment?

A. I believe so, yes.

Q. Okay. There's no indication on the e-mail that there is an attachment. Did you send him an additional e-mail?

A. No.

Q. And was it your understanding that this was going to be forwarded to anyone else?

A. I believe he said it was for the Superintendent's office.

Q. And so you knew when you provided this to him that it was going to the Superintendent's office?

A. I believe I did. I am not sure.

Q. Did you know if it was going anywhere else?

A. No.

Q. And did he mention anything concerning a FOIL request when you provided these documents to him?
A. No.

Q. And did he mention anything about forwarding those documents to the Executive Chamber?

A. No.

Q. And the date on the e-mail is July 2nd; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you familiar with the Times Union article that came out on July 1st concerning transportation and Senator Bruno?

A. I can't say that I am, but I don't recall.

Q. Have you ever been -- have you ever seen the Albany Times Union article concerning ground transportation and Senator Bruno dated July 1st?

A. If I did, I don't remember.

Q. Did you ever discuss the article with Major Kopy?

A. Not in detail, and I can't say that it was that article or not. So I don't recall.

Q. Did you ever discuss any of the itineraries concerning Senator Bruno's ground transportation appearing in the newspaper or on
the Interned with Major Kopy?
   A. No.
Q. Did you ever discuss it with anyone?
   A. No.
Q. Did you ever see the ground itineraries on the Internet?
   A. I believe I seen something, but I can't say that it was the Times Union or any other newspaper. I don't know.
Q. But you saw -- What did you see?
   A. I think I seen something regarding I believe the Aqueduct racetrack going back to May, but I don't know if that was later on in the month or the month of August. I don't remember when I seen it.
Q. Did you ever become aware that the Senator's itineraries were on the Internet?
Q. You've never been advised that the itineraries that you had assisted in providing the information were available on the Internet?
   A. It might have been mentioned in one of the testimonies, but I don't recall being advised of that.
Q. So prior to your testimony you had no knowledge that this information had been disseminated to the media?
A. No.

MR. MOROKNEK: His testimony today?

MS. TOOHER: With the Attorney General.

A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Have you ever been involved in providing documentation in connection with a FOIL request?
A. Ever in the past?

MR. TEITELBAUM: Yes.

A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Are you aware of ground itinerary of dignitaries being given to persons outside the State Police under any circumstances?
A. Am I aware of that?

MR. TEITELBAUM: (Indicating in the affirmative.)

A. No.

BY MS. TOOHER:
Q. Just one quick follow-up. You indicated
that you, when you sent Captain Marmion the
schedule, you annexed the formal ground itinerary
for the 24th, Commission's Exhibit 4. Is that
correct?
A. I don't -- can you --
Q. You said there was an attachment to your
e-mail when you provided the schedule on the 24th,
that you provided the copy, this Commission's
Exhibit 4 as well, setting forth the precise
schedule; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But you had never done that previously
when you provided information concerning the
scheduling to the Superintendent; is that correct?
A. I have never done what?
Q. You never provided a formal version, if
you will, of the schedule, the ground itinerary?
MR. MOROKNEK: Counsel, I'm
sorry, I am not sure what you
mean by formal version.
BY MS. TOOHER:
Q. For the purposes right now, I am just
going to call these a formal version of the ground
itinerary, Commission's 1 through 4.

A. Okay.

Q. And you provided these --

MR. MOROKNEK: I don't mean to interrupt you, but why is this any more formal than any other itinerary? This is an itinerary; right?

MS. TOOHER: There are no other itineraries. It was the e-mails. It's just for identification.

MR. MOROKNEK: That's fine, okay.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. If I am going to call this the formal itinerary, the formal version of this as opposed to just the e-mail note, the first time that you did this in terms of simultaneous with the information itself was when you sent it to Captain Marmion on the 24th; is that correct?

A. No. Actually, I did it for the Major on the -- for the month of May.

Q. When he asked you for --
A. The month of May.

Q. You did what?

A. I put it in the same format.

Q. Okay. So you had done it on this request from the Major --

A. Yes.

Q. -- when he asked you for the ground schedule, you put it in that, what I am going to call formal format? You set forth the times and the investigator and the driver; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. But when you sent them to the Superintendent for the 17th and the 24th, you did not put it in this kind of formal format; is that correct?

MR. MOROKNEK: I think we're getting hung up on the term formal.

A. I believe I faxed up the schedule that I had --

Q. Uh-huh.

A. -- to the super, so I didn't have to put it in any format. I had the schedule.

BY MS. TOOHER:
Q. Okay, and when you sent him the information on the 24th in the e-mail, did you provide it in this format?

MR. MOROKNEK: Let me look at the e-mail. Do you have the e-mail in front of you, counsel, so we know what you're talking about; what we're looking at?

THE WITNESS: Exhibit 19.

MR. MOROKNEK: Is that what it is, Exhibit 19?

MS. TOOHER: You should have it.

THE WITNESS: This one?

MS. TOOHER: Yes.

MR. MOROKNEK: Good. I was just going to ask, and the question is what?

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. Is this in the same format as you presented to the transportation assignment for Senator Bruno when you provided it to Captain Marmion?

MR. TEITELBAUM: Referring to
what exhibit?

MS. TOOHER: Commission's Exhibit 4.

MR. MOROKNEK: As compared to Exhibit 14?

THE WITNESS: Four.

MR. MOROKNEK: Nineteen is what you're talking about, okay.

MS. TOOHER: Nineteen.

MR. MOROKNEK: What you're asking, does it have the dates and the times; is that what you're asking?

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. No. It has the dates and the times, but you don't identify the driver; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And you haven't put it as a separate document, ground transportation?

A. And that's probably because I didn't have the full schedule at that time.

Q. Okay, just asking. And so prior to the creation of these documents, if you didn't have the full schedule, you would just provide whatever
information you had?

MR. MOROKNEK: Again, if we can identify what documents you're talking about?

MS. TOOHER: Commission's Exhibits 1 through 4.

MR. MOROKNEK: Thank you.

MS. TOOHER: Uh-huh.

A. Yes.

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. And before you testified here today, did you discuss your testimony with anyone?

A. Just counsel.

MR. MOROKNEK: Other than counsel, of course; right?

BY MS. TOOHER:

Q. And did you discuss it with Mr. Valle?

A. Yes.

Q. And what was the nature of that discussion?

A. Basically, I would have to come back up here to testify and that I wasn't the target of this investigation.

Q. And did you discuss it with anyone from
the Executive Chamber?

A. No.

Q. And did you discuss it with the Superintendent?

A. No.

MR. TEITELBAUM: Were you shown any documents in connection with your preparation?

A. Just the ones that I went over yesterday, the testimony of the AG and the IG's office that I did back in --

MR. VALLE: The witness came back yesterday by himself to review his testimony.

MS. TOOHER: That's it.

Thank you very much.

(Witness excused at 12:30 p.m.)